Vol. 2 No. 2. 2023

e-ISSN 2955-9014 UDC 005

EEMR

Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research

FEB and FEF

Union - Nikola Tesla University

Impressum

Publisher

Faculty for Entrepreneurial Business and Real Estate Management and Faculty of Economics and Finance, "Union - Nikola Tesla" University, Belgrade, Serbia.

EEMR is published twice a year.

Principal Contact

Faculty for Entrepreneurial Business and Real Estate Management, Faculty of Economics and Finance, "Union - Nikola Tesla" University. Cara Dušana 62-64, Belgrade, Serbia. E-mail: eemr@unt.edu.rs

Editorial Team

Editor in Chief

Editor for Entrepreneurship and Management Topics

Editor for Economic Topics

Editorial Members

Aims and Scope

Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR) is a scientific journal in the field of economics, entrepreneurship, and management. EEMR strives to follow the latest trends, analyzes, and research in these areas. EEMR will also support research in the field of economics, microeconomics, and macroeconomics, labor economics, finance, entrepreneurship theories, entrepreneurial behavior, entrepreneurial strategy, entrepreneurial ventures, family business, social entrepreneurship, international entrepreneurship, strategic management, operations management, financial management, human resources management, marketing, business communications, leadership, organizational culture, organizational behavior, and other related topics. EEMR will accept theoretical and systematic review papers, but, largely, original research papers.

Contents

EEMR

Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research

Vol. 2 No. 2. 2023 e-ISSN:2955-9014 pp. 1-22

Alwazna Falah[1]

Review article

UDC 005.574
007:004]:341.76

COBISS.SR-ID 165763337

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.62907/eemr220202001f

Information Security Management in Diplomatic Protocols: The Challenges of Modern Diplomacy

Abstract

In the digital age, information security management has become a pivotal concern in international diplomacy, as cyber threats increasingly challenge the integrity of diplomatic communications. This paper explores the significance of integrating robust security measures within diplomatic protocols, with a particular focus on the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM). The study highlights the dual impact of Artificial Intelligence on diplomacy, where technological advancements provide both opportunities and risks in safeguarding sensitive information. Additionally, the role of diplomatic protocols is examined in maintaining trust, fostering cooperation, and ensuring the security of confidential exchanges. By analyzing contemporary challenges such as state-sponsored cyber threats and globalized crime, this research underscores the necessity of a strategic, technology-driven approach to information security in modern diplomatic engagements. Ultimately, the findings emphasize the need for diplomatic institutions to adopt comprehensive cybersecurity frameworks that enhance digital resilience while upholding the principles of international diplomacy.

[1] School of Engineering Management, University “Union - Nikola Tesla”, Belgrade, alwazna.falah@fim.rs

Keywords: *Information security management, Diplomatic protocols, modern diplomacy management.*

1. Introduction

In an era characterized by rapid technological advancement, information security management has emerged as a critical concern within the realm of international diplomacy. The complexities of modern diplomatic interactions necessitate robust protocols to safeguard sensitive data from an array of cyber threats. As diplomatic entities increasingly adopt digital tools, the integration of frameworks such as the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) becomes imperative. This model emphasizes the need for enhanced digital capabilities, which include cybersecurity measures that protect diplomatic communications and operational cohesiveness (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021; Tüner, 2023). Furthermore, with the advent of Artificial Intelligence, the diplomatic landscape is witnessing both unprecedented opportunities and significant challenges in managing information securely. The dualistic nature of AI technologies necessitates thorough examination to ensure that these innovations complement rather than compromise the integrity of diplomatic engagements (Tüner, 2023; Bano et al., 2023). Thus, this paper explores the multifaceted challenges of implementing effective information security management within contemporary diplomatic protocols.

Definition of Information Security Management

In the context of modern diplomacy, Information Security Management (ISM) encompasses the strategic framework designed to protect sensitive diplomatic data from unauthorized access, use, or disclosure. As technological advancements increasingly intertwine with diplomatic operations, the imperative for robust ISM has intensified, particularly in safeguarding the confidentiality and integrity of communications and transactional information. The implementation of a comprehensive ISM strategy aligns with the principles outlined in the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM), which emphasizes the critical dimensions of technology and security within diplomatic infrastructures (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021; Tüner, 2023). Furthermore, understanding the role of ISM also involves recognizing its significance in addressing contemporary security challenges such as globalized crime and state-sponsored cyber threats. By incorporating these elements, diplomats not only protect their nations interests but also contribute to a broader culture of security and trust in international relations **(**Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021**).**

Importance of Diplomatic Protocols

The importance of diplomatic protocols cannot be overstated, as they serve as foundational elements in facilitating effective international relations. Protocols establish a structure of communication and interaction, essential for building trust and confidence among diplomatic representatives. This trust lays the groundwork for successful negotiations and collaboration, minimizing the anxieties related to interpersonal dynamics during formal engagements. When diplomatic protocols are strictly observed, officials feel assured of the appropriateness of their actions, which promotes a conducive atmosphere for discussions (Brittain-Hale et al., 2023). Furthermore, in an increasingly digital world, maintaining security of sensitive information is paramount. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model highlights the crucial dimensions of technology and security, advocating for robust cybersecurity measures within diplomatic protocols (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021; Tüner, 2023). Hence, adherence to established protocols not only fosters positive relationships between states but also safeguards the integrity of information exchanged, highlighting their irreplaceable role in modern diplomacy.

Overview of Modern Diplomacy

The landscape of modern diplomacy has evolved significantly, primarily driven by the advent of digital technology and the necessity for robust information security management. As states navigate an increasingly interconnected world, the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) presents a framework that emphasizes the importance of technology, cybersecurity, and comprehensive policies tailored to evolving diplomatic practices (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021; Tüner, 2023). This model underscores the need for diplomats to enhance their digital literacy, allowing them to effectively utilize tools like social media to influence public opinion and engage with global audiences (Brittain-Hale et al., 2023). Additionally, the challenges posed by cybersecurity threats necessitate a proactive approach in safeguarding sensitive information. As diplomacy becomes more digitized, it is crucial for institutions to adopt state-of-the-art technologies while formulating clear guidelines to govern digital interactions. Thus, the interplay between technology and traditional diplomatic practices is paramount in shaping modern diplomatic strategies.

The Role of Technology in Diplomacy

The integration of technology into diplomatic practices has revolutionized the way states engage with one another, creating both unprecedented opportunities and significant challenges. One notable advancement is the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM), which provides a robust framework for assessing and enhancing the digital capabilities of diplomatic institutions by focusing on dimensions such as people, technology, and security **(**Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021; Tüner, 2023). As diplomacy increasingly depends on digital platforms, the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) emerges as critical, offering innovative tools for communication and negotiation. However, the dualistic nature of AI introduces complexities; while it enhances efficiency, it also necessitates stringent security measures to protect sensitive information and uphold ethical standards in diplomatic engagements (Tüner, 2023; Bano et al., 2023). Thus, the effective management of information security in modern diplomacy becomes paramount, balancing technological advancement with the safeguarding of national interests and international trust.

Purpose and Scope of the paper

In addressing the complexities of modern diplomacy, the purpose of this paper is to critically analyze information security management within diplomatic protocols. By exploring the dynamic interplay between technological advancements, state interactions, and security frameworks, the paper seeks to elucidate the challenges that contemporary diplomats face. It highlights the necessity for robust security measures, given the increasing threats posed by cyber espionage and data breaches, which jeopardize national interests and international relations. Furthermore, the scope encompasses an examination of existing international instruments, drawing parallels to the Cape Town Convention and its innovative electronic registry system. This comparison sets the foundation for proposing a universally applicable international registry aimed at enhancing security protocols and facilitating better cooperation among states (Mooney et al., 2014). Ultimately, the paper aspires to contribute meaningfully to ongoing discussions regarding effective information security management in the realm of diplomacy.

2. Literature Review

Historical Context of Diplomatic Protocols

The historical context of diplomatic protocols reveals a complex evolution shaped by cultural norms, political necessities, and the increasing sophistication of international relations. Traditionally, these protocols were formulated based on hierarchical structures and formal customs that dictated interactions among state representatives. As the landscape of diplomacy transformed, particularly with the advent of technology and globalization, the need for adaptable protocols became evident. This transformation is examined in the context of modern challenges such as cybersecurity threats, where the incorporation of digital tools into diplomatic practices necessitates new protocols for information security management. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) outlines the framework required to navigate these changes, stressing the importance of technological security alongside diplomatic engagement. Moreover, the integration of artificial intelligence in nuclear arms control demonstrates how contemporary diplomacy grapples with ethical implications, as states must reconcile traditional practices with the demands of the digital age **(**Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021**).**

Evolution of Diplomatic Practices

As diplomatic practices evolve in response to the increasing complexity of global communications, the integration of modern technologies into these protocols has become essential. Historically, diplomacy relied heavily on formal state-to-state interactions, but the rise of public diplomacy and citizen engagement has shifted this landscape significantly. This transition presents unique challenges, particularly in regard to information security management, as state actors must navigate a myriad of potential vulnerabilities introduced by digital communications. The dialogue surrounding these issues has been enriched by discussions such as those at the Aspen Institute's Dialogue on Diplomacy and Technology, which highlights the necessity for governments to adapt their strategies in light of emerging communications technology (Clifton Martin et al., 2013). Moreover, the question of how to effectively engage diverse audiences underscores the importance of tailored approaches in diplomatic initiatives, which could ultimately enhance national interests and the efficacy of diplomatic engagements (Webb et al., 2009).

Key Historical Events Influencing Protocols

Throughout history, numerous key events have fundamentally shaped the protocols guiding diplomatic interactions, significantly influencing information security management. For instance, the emergence of global conflicts, such as World War II and the subsequent Cold War, necessitated more stringent security measures to protect sensitive information shared among nations. Additionally, the proliferation of technological advancements has transformed the landscape of diplomatic communications, challenging traditional norms and calling for updated protocols that incorporate cyber security elements. The case of Iraq, where water resource mismanagement during and after the numerous conflicts has highlighted the lack of protective frameworks for vital natural resources, exemplifies the need for diplomatic protocols that address international cooperation and resource sharing in times of crisis (Rapantová et al., 2018). Furthermore, the experiences of various African regional actors in protecting displaced persons during armed conflicts serve as a critical reminder of the importance of diplomacy and effective protocols in safeguarding vulnerable populations (Levitt et al., 2001).

Traditional Security Measures in Diplomacy

The landscape of traditional security measures in diplomacy has undergone significant transformation in the context of contemporary international relations, necessitating a reevaluation of existing protocols. Historically, diplomatic security was largely predicated on physical safeguards, such as secure communication channels and the presence of diplomatic immunity. However, as modern diplomacy increasingly integrates digital tools, there is an urgent need to address the vulnerabilities that accompany this shift. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity

Model (DD-MM) underscores the importance of robust technological frameworks to complement traditional security measures, advocating for enhanced cybersecurity alongside conventional tactics **(**Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021**).** Furthermore, the advent of artificial intelligence introduces complex challenges to diplomatic security, particularly concerning nuclear arms control and verification processes, thus necessitating a balanced approach that harmonizes ethical considerations with technological advancements **(**Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021**).** As such, an integrated framework that fuses traditional and modern security measures is imperative for safeguarding diplomatic integrity.

The Impact of the Cold War on Diplomatic Protocols

The Cold War epoch instigated profound transformations in diplomatic protocols, reshaping the landscape of international relations in ways that resonate to this day. As countries aligned with either the capitalist West or the communist East, the demands of protocol became inextricably linked to prevailing ideological tensions. This period underscored the necessity for heightened information security measures to safeguard state secrets and diplomatic communications, reflecting the pervasive atmosphere of mistrust. According to the examination of crisis management within NATO during the Russia-Ukraine conflict, the complexities arising from differing member state interests illustrate how foundational Cold War dynamics continue to influence diplomatic engagement strategies today (Aande et al., 2023). Moreover, the establishment of Police Diplomacy emerged as a novel approach, illustrating how the intersection of law enforcement and diplomacy has become crucial for addressing international security challenges, firmly rooted in the historical legacies of the Cold War (Aande et al., 2023).

Transition to Digital Diplomacy

The transition to digital diplomacy marks a significant shift in how nations engage in international relations, largely driven by advancements in technology and data management. As diplomatic engagements increasingly rely on digital platforms, the complexities of information security take center stage. Digital diplomacy facilitates real-time communication and data sharing, which, while enhancing transparency, also raises critical concerns regarding data governance and ownership. Interviews with professionals in the field have revealed essential negotiations surrounding data access and security, underscoring the importance of establishing robust governance frameworks within these multi-actor contexts (Aande et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and tools like ChatGPT introduces both opportunities for innovation and risks that must be navigated thoughtfully (Bano et al., 2023; Tüner, 2023). As states adapt to this digital landscape, the imperative for comprehensive information security management within diplomatic protocols becomes ever more pronounced, ensuring that new opportunities do not compromise national interests.

Current Challenges in Information Security

The landscape of information security is increasingly fraught with challenges that significantly impact diplomatic protocols in the modern era. As diplomatic institutions adopt digital strategies to enhance their global presence, they must grapple with the dual imperatives of maintaining operational integrity and protecting sensitive data. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) illustrates this complexity by emphasizing the necessity of advanced cybersecurity measures as part of a comprehensive strategy for digital diplomacy (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021; Tüner, 2023). Furthermore, the rise of globalized crime has introduced new vulnerabilities, compelling nations to recalibrate their security frameworks in response to these evolving threats (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). This situation underscores the importance of not only investing in technology but also fostering a culture of digital literacy among diplomatic personnel. As such, the intersection of technology, security, and policy becomes critical in developing robust solutions to safeguard the delicate balance of international relations amidst growing cyber threats.

Cybersecurity Threats to Diplomatic Communications

In an increasingly digital world, the vulnerability of diplomatic communications to cybersecurity threats presents significant challenges to the efficacy of international relations. The reliance on advanced technologies for diplomatic interactions exposes sensitive information to risks such as hacking, data breaches, and espionage, necessitating comprehensive security strategies within diplomatic protocols. As outlined in the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM), establishing robust ICT infrastructure and cybersecurity measures is paramount to safeguard diplomatic communications (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021; Tüner, 2023). Furthermore, emerging technologies like Artificial Intelligence and blockchain promise enhanced security but simultaneously introduce new threats, such as AI-driven attacks and blockchain vulnerabilities (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021; Tüner, 2023). The intersection of these technological advances and the evolving nature of cyber threats highlights the necessity for continuous adaptation in diplomatic practices. To address these challenges effectively, nations must embrace international cooperation in developing norms and frameworks that prioritize cybersecurity within the realm of diplomatic communications.

Insider Threats and Human Error

In the realm of information security management, insider threats and human error significantly complicate the safeguarding of diplomatic protocols. These vulnerabilities can arise from well-intentioned personnel who inadvertently compromise sensitive information through negligence or lack of training. For instance, employees may unintentionally disclose confidential documents or click on malicious links, leading to costly data breaches. The nature of diplomatic work, characterized by high-stakes negotiations and sensitive exchanges, amplifies these risks, as the repercussions of compromised information can extend beyond the immediate environment to affect international relations. According to (Alavi et al., 2023), the historical development of cyber conflicts illustrates the long-standing challenge of managing human error within the context of rapidly evolving technologies. Furthermore, Alavi et al. (2023), emphasize that recognizing and mitigating these insider threats is crucial for maintaining the integrity of state functions, highlighting the urgent need for comprehensive training and robust security protocols in diplomacy.

The Role of Social Media in Diplomacy

The integration of social media into diplomatic practices has significantly transformed the landscape of international relations, presenting both opportunities and challenges for information security management. Diplomats are increasingly utilizing platforms like Twitter and Facebook to engage with global audiences, advocate for national interests, and shape public narratives. For instance, during events such as the Olympic Games, states employ social media strategically to enhance their soft power, a soft power that is often nuanced and operates in the backgrounds of audience perceptions (Burchell et al., 2015). However, this increased connectivity comes with heightened vulnerabilities, as the immediacy of information sharing can lead to the rapid dissemination of misinformation and diplomatic faux pas. Furthermore, the diverse nature of diplomacy—encompassing state, public, and citizen diplomacy—demands a multifaceted approach to security protocols, necessitating stringent safeguards to protect sensitive information while enabling effective communication strategies (Clifton Martin et al., 2013).

Data Breaches and Their Consequences

Data breaches represent a critical vulnerability in the realm of information security, particularly within the context of diplomatic protocols. These incidents not only jeopardize sensitive governmental communications but also undermine national security and bilateral relations. The ramifications of a breach extend beyond immediate data loss; they can lead to erosion of trust among nations, heightening tensions and complicating diplomatic negotiations. As highlighted in contemporary analyses, the surge in cyber conflicts necessitates a comprehensive understanding of their historical development and impact on global security (Alavi et al., 2023). Furthermore, trends in cyber diplomacy emphasize the need for proactive measures, as emerging technologies like Artificial Intelligence and blockchain can both fortify and threaten cybersecurity infrastructures (Tüner, 2023). Consequently, the consequences of data breaches extend into the political sphere, affecting alliances and how states manage their international relations in an increasingly interconnected world.

Legal and Ethical Implications of Information Security

In an era where the digital landscape is integral to diplomatic relations, the legal and ethical implications of information security are increasingly paramount. As nations rely on advanced technologies, the potential misuse of information threatens both national security and international trust. The integration of artificial intelligence, while promising enhanced efficiency, brings forth significant concerns regarding accountability and transparency in decision-making processes. Moreover, the complexity of legal frameworks surrounding data protection and privacy intensifies these challenges, particularly when sensitive diplomatic communications are involved. The discussions surrounding AIs role in arms control, as highlighted in recent studies, underscore the ethical need for safeguards to prevent misuse and ensure responsible deployment of technologies (Tüner, 2023). Furthermore, the evolving nature of digital diplomacy necessitates a comprehensive framework that balances innovation with ethical considerations, as identified in the exploration of Generative AIs role in diplomatic practices (Bano et al., 2023). Addressing these implications is vital for maintaining the integrity and safety of diplomatic protocols.

Strategies for Effective Information Security Management

In navigating the intricacies of modern diplomacy, effective information security management emerges as a fundamental strategy to safeguard sensitive data against evolving threats. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) outlines critical dimensions necessary for enhancing digital capabilities within diplomatic institutions, including people, technology and security, and policies (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). By emphasizing the need for specialized training and digital literacy among diplomats, the model underscores a proactive approach to information security, fostering a culture of awareness in combating cyber threats. Furthermore, as advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI) continue to reshape diplomatic practices, there lies a dual opportunity and challenge that can significantly impact information security measures (Bano et al., 2023). Therefore, a comprehensive framework that integrates these strategies not only ensures the protection of sensitive information but also promotes ethical practices in the multifaceted realm of digital diplomacy.

Risk Assessment and Management Techniques

In the context of modern diplomacy, the integration of robust risk assessment and management techniques is essential for safeguarding information security. Diplomatic protocols increasingly rely on advanced technologies that, while enhancing connectivity and communication, also expose sensitive data to potential breaches. Effective risk assessment requires the identification of various vulnerabilities within diplomatic operations, ranging from cyber threats to social engineering tactics used by adversarial entities. By employing analytical frameworks that evaluate both the likelihood and impact of such risks, diplomats can implement targeted management strategies that mitigate potential threats. For instance, frameworks discussed in (Clifton Martin et al., 2013) emphasize the importance of distinguishing between different forms of diplomacy, which may present unique challenges and opportunities in risk management. Moreover, as highlighted in (Ashcraft et al., 2018), adapting to emerging geopolitical shifts—such as changes related to Arctic shipping routes—demands a proactive approach to risk management, ensuring diplomatic institutions can withstand unforeseen technological and environmental changes.

Implementation of Security Protocols

In the realm of modern diplomacy, the implementation of robust security protocols is critical for safeguarding sensitive information and maintaining the integrity of diplomatic communications. As international relations increasingly rely on digital platforms, the vulnerabilities associated with cyber threats have heightened the necessity for comprehensive security measures. This is where frameworks like the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) become essential, as they guide diplomatic institutions in enhancing their digital capabilities through structured assessment of technology, policies, and security measures (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). Tailored security protocols not only protect confidential state communications but also foster trust among diplomatic actors, as adherence to established norms reduces the risks of breaches that could otherwise strain international relations (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). Therefore, the effective implementation of security protocols is not merely a technical necessity but a foundational element in sustaining diplomatic engagement in an increasingly interconnected world.

Training and Awareness Programs for Diplomats

In the context of modern diplomacy, the implementation of comprehensive training and awareness programs for diplomats is paramount to address the evolving challenges of information security management. As diplomatic engagements increasingly rely on digital platforms, these programs must prioritize the development of digital literacy and competency among diplomatic personnel. Emphasizing the role of specialized training, the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) underscores the importance of equipping diplomats with the skills necessary to navigate the complexities of cybersecurity and data management effectively (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). Furthermore, the integration of private security provider frameworks reflects an understanding of the broader security landscape, demonstrating that effective information security is not solely dependent on internal mechanisms, but also involves collaboration with external experts in the field (Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). Such training initiatives not only enhance the resilience of diplomatic operations but also ensure that diplomatic protocols evolve to meet contemporary security demands.

Collaboration with Cybersecurity Experts

In the context of modern diplomacy, effective collaboration with cybersecurity experts emerges as a decisive factor in fortifying information security management within diplomatic protocols. As the landscape of cybersecurity threats evolves, leveraging the specialized skills of these professionals is essential for identifying vulnerabilities and formulating proactive responses to risks associated with increasingly sophisticated technologies. Notably, advancements such as Artificial Intelligence and the Internet of Things present both opportunities and challenges that demand a strategic approach to cyber diplomacy (Tüner, 2023). Moreover, frameworks such as the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model illustrate the necessity for diplomatic institutions to incorporate robust cybersecurity measures in their digital efforts (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). Engaging with experts enables nations to develop comprehensive policies while promoting global norms that ensure the secure handling of sensitive information, ultimately fostering international trust and cooperation in an interconnected digital arena.

Use of Advanced Technologies in Security

The integration of advanced technologies in security frameworks is crucial for the safeguarding of sensitive information within diplomatic protocols. As the landscape of modern diplomacy evolves, incorporating sophisticated tools such as Artificial Intelligence and robust cybersecurity measures enables diplomatic institutions to enhance their operational efficiency and resilience against threats. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) aptly highlights the significance of technology and security, advocating for the adoption of state-of-the-art infrastructures that can ensure data integrity and protect sensitive communications (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). Meanwhile, the rise of generative AI presents both opportunities and challenges in this domain, prompting a need for a strategic framework that addresses these dualistic aspects (Bano et al., 2023). Ultimately, the successful deployment of advanced technologies not only fortifies security measures but also fosters a more effective and responsive diplomatic process, cultivating trust and stability in international relations.

3. Discussion

Case Studies of Information Security Breaches in Diplomacy

The analysis of case studies concerning information security breaches in diplomacy reveals a pressing need for enhanced security measures in the digital age. Recent incidents have underscored vulnerabilities in diplomatic communication, leading to significant breaches that compromised sensitive information. Such breaches highlight the intersection of technology and traditional diplomatic practices, where the reliance on digital communication networks has outpaced the development of robust security protocols. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) addresses this gap by providing a structured framework that emphasizes the importance of cybersecurity as a pivotal dimension within diplomatic institutions, underscoring the integration of effective training programs and updated policies **(**Park, Chung, & Park, 2019**).** Moreover, with the advent of new technologies such as Artificial Intelligence and the Internet of Things, the risks associated with cyber diplomacy have amplified, necessitating international cooperation to establish comprehensive guidelines for responsible technology adoption and security management (Tüner, 2023).

Analysis of Notable Cyber Attacks on Diplomatic Entities

The analysis of notable cyber attacks on diplomatic entities reveals a stark reality of the vulnerabilities embedded in international diplomacy today. Cyber conflicts, as identified in scholarly research, have drastically changed the landscape of state interactions, marking a departure from traditional forms of warfare to more covert and insidious means of espionage and influence (Alavi et al., 2023). The frequent targeting of embassies and governmental networks underscores the urgent need for robust information security management in diplomatic protocols. Moreover, as regional frameworks like ASEAN evolve their cyber security policies, the demand for a holistic understanding of these threats becomes increasingly pronounced (Tüner et al., 2023). By examining instances such as the breach of the U.S. State Department in 2014, this analysis emphasizes the interplay between technological advancements and the sophistication of cyber attacks, challenging diplomats to adapt their security measures to protect sensitive information in an ever-evolving digital landscape.

Lessons Learned from Recent Breaches

The lessons gleaned from recent breaches underscore the urgent need for enhanced information security management within diplomatic protocols. High-profile incidents have revealed vulnerabilities in the systems intended to protect sensitive diplomatic communications, highlighting the necessity for proactive measures. As digital diplomacy evolves, the intersection of emerging technologies with cybersecurity strategies takes center stage. Specifically, technologies such as Artificial Intelligence and the Internet of Things can offer innovative approaches to threat detection and response, yet they also introduce new risks that must be mitigated (Tüner, 2023). As emphasized in previous discussions, the importance of international cooperation emerges as a paramount strategy for establishing comprehensive frameworks that govern responsible technology adoption and bolster cybersecurity defenses. By integrating lessons learned from these breaches, diplomatic entities can better protect national interests and foster trust among nations, thereby reinforcing the integrity of diplomatic engagements in an increasingly complex digital landscape (Hofer et al., 2018).

Impact of Breaches on International Relations

In an era where digital communication underscores the fabric of international relations, breaches in information security have profound implications for diplomatic protocols. When sensitive data is compromised, it not only jeopardizes national security but also undermines trust between nations, leading to heightened tensions and potential conflicts. Such breaches expose weaknesses in diplomatic networks, prompting states to reevaluate their cybersecurity measures and strengthen their protocols. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) emphasizes the necessity of integrating advanced technology and robust cybersecurity frameworks within diplomatic practices to mitigate these risks effectively, highlighting the importance of having comprehensive policies that govern digital interactions **(**Park, Chung, & Park, 2019**).** Furthermore, as states navigate the complex landscape of global politics, the risk of misinformation and digital espionage increases, necessitating a proactive approach to information security that fosters resilience and enhances international cooperation in the face of modern challenges (Hofer et al., 2018).

Responses and Recovery Strategies

In the realm of information security management within diplomatic protocols, responses and recovery strategies are paramount to addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by contemporary threats. The landscape of diplomacy is increasingly shaped by the rapid evolution of technology and the complexities it brings. Effective response frameworks incorporate the lessons gleaned from past incidents, leading to the development of adaptive strategies that synchronize the efforts of various governmental and non-governmental entities. As noted, “the modern homeland security apparatus is characterized by overlapping and interconnecting legal and jurisdictional responsibilities that intertwine response agencies” (Gorlin et al., 2023). Furthermore, the significance of cyber diplomacy as a response mechanism cannot be overstated. It facilitates international collaboration and establishes norms that promote cybersecurity while managing the risks associated with emergent technologies such as AI and blockchain (Tüner, 2023). Together, these strategies foster resilience and preparedness in diplomatic operations, ensuring a robust defense against potential vulnerabilities.

Future Implications for Diplomatic Security

As the landscape of global diplomacy continues to evolve, the future implications for diplomatic security will increasingly hinge on the integration of advanced digital strategies and multistakeholder approaches. With the rise of digital diplomacy, states have begun leveraging internet-based platforms to articulate and project their foreign policy positions effectively, thus enhancing transparency and communication with both domestic and international audiences (Adesina, 2017, p. 1297175-1297175). However, this transformation is accompanied by heightened risks, as cyber threats can undermine the integrity of diplomatic communications and sensitive negotiations. Moreover, the concept of multistakeholderism introduces complexities in governance structures, indicating that diplomatic security will require a more collaborative framework that involves multiple actors beyond traditional state mechanisms (Raymond et al., 2015, p. 572-616). This multifaceted approach emphasizes the urgency for robust information security management protocols, which must adapt to ensure resilience against digital vulnerabilities in the intricate web of modern diplomacy.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the intricate landscape of modern diplomacy necessitates a robust approach to information security management within diplomatic protocols. As international interactions become increasingly digitized, the potential for information breaches and cybersecurity threats escalates, posing challenges that require immediate and strategic attention. The role of public diplomacy emerges as crucial, as governments must not only defend their information assets but also understand their audiences and leverage technology effectively to maintain influence and credibility on the global stage (Webb et al., 2009). Furthermore, events such as the Olympic Games illustrate the dual nature of diplomacy, where the intertwining of cultural narratives and soft power can either enhance or undermine a nations standing depending on how they engage with global audiences (Burchell et al., 2015). Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of these dynamics is essential for state actors striving to innovate while ensuring the security of their diplomatic communications.

Summary of Key Points

In examining the intersection of information security management and diplomatic protocols, several key points emerge that highlight the complexities faced by modern diplomacy. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) serves as a pivotal framework, outlining the necessity for diplomats to enhance their technological capabilities while ensuring cybersecurity measures are robust and comprehensive (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). This model emphasizes that effective information management not only relies on advanced technology but also requires a strategic approach to policy formulation, especially in the context of international standards. Moreover, the insights from various conferences, such as those focusing on Maritime Confidence Building Measures, showcase the essential role of transparency and communication in fostering trust among nations (Aande et al., 2023). Collectively, these elements underscore the urgent need for diplomatic institutions to develop clear protocols that mitigate risks and effectively harness digital tools in an increasingly interconnected world.

The Importance of Continuous Improvement in Security

In the landscape of modern diplomacy, the importance of continuous improvement in security cannot be overstated, as diplomatic institutions face an array of evolving threats in an increasingly digital world. The integration of frameworks such as the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) illustrates the critical need for systematic enhancement of security protocols within diplomatic contexts, emphasizing technological safeguards and policy development to protect sensitive information (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). Furthermore, the growing reliance on advanced technologies—ranging from Artificial Intelligence to IoT—necessitates an agile approach to security management. As these technologies present both opportunities and vulnerabilities, continuous improvement is essential for adapting to emerging threats and fostering international cooperation to establish robust cyber norms (Tüner, 2023).

Ultimately, prioritizing ongoing evaluations and enhancements in security practices is vital for maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of diplomatic engagements in todays complex digital environment.

Future Trends in Diplomatic Information Security

As the realm of diplomacy evolves with rapid technological advancements, the future of diplomatic information security will increasingly hinge on the integration of sophisticated digital frameworks and innovative technologies. The Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM) serves as an essential framework, emphasizing the importance of enhancing the digital capabilities of diplomatic institutions in terms of technology and security, while also addressing the critical need for specialized training and digital literacy among personnel (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). Furthermore, the rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) within diplomatic practices introduces a dualistic landscape, presenting both significant opportunities and formidable challenges (Bano et al., 2023). As countries embrace these digital transformations, establishing robust cybersecurity measures and developing comprehensive policies will be paramount. This proactive approach will ensure that sensitive diplomatic communications remain secure while facilitating effective engagement in an increasingly interconnected global environment, ultimately reinforcing the integrity of modern diplomacy.

Recommendations for Policy Makers

In addressing the challenges of modern diplomacy, policy makers must prioritize robust information security management that encompasses both technological advancements and diplomatic protocols. A pivotal recommendation is to develop comprehensive frameworks that integrate cutting-edge artificial intelligence to enhance detection and verification processes in diplomatic communications, as highlighted in recent analyses of AIs role in nuclear arms control (Tüner, 2023). Furthermore, fostering collaboration among international stakeholders is essential to navigate the complexities of differing national interests, especially in conflict scenarios like the Russia-Ukraine situation, where NATOs diplomatic practices illustrate the intricacies of member state alignment (Aande et al., 2023). To this end, regular consultations and open forums should be instituted to address divergent views and cultivate consensus. By combining advanced technology with effective diplomatic communication strategies, policy makers can strengthen the resilience and integrity of global diplomatic efforts, ultimately leading to a safer international landscape.

Final Thoughts on the Future of Diplomacy and Security

As we navigate the complexities of 21st-century diplomacy, the intertwining of technology and security presents both remarkable opportunities and formidable challenges. The progression towards digital diplomacy, encapsulated in frameworks like the Digitalization of Diplomacy Maturity Model (DD-MM), emphasizes the crucial dimensions of people, technology, and policy within diplomatic institutions (Park, Chung, & Park, 2019; Kos-Stanišić, & Car, 2021). This model not only advocates for improved digital literacy among diplomats but also underscores the importance of robust cybersecurity measures to protect sensitive information. Simultaneously, advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI) are reshaping diplomatic practices by offering innovative avenues for engagement while introducing new risks that must be critically evaluated (Bano et al., 2023; Tüner, 2023). Therefore, the future of diplomacy and security hinges on our ability to effectively integrate these digital tools while safeguarding the protocols that govern international relations. As we forge ahead, a balanced approach will be essential to navigate this evolving landscape successfully.

References

1. Aande, B., Egondu, I., Uloma, L., Grace, M., Nwankwo, E., et al. (2023). *Diplomatic Practice and Russia-Ukraine Conflict: The Role of the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (NATO)*. Academic Journals. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/603972786.pdf
2. Adesina, O. S. (2017). *Foreign Policy in an Era of Digital Diplomacy*. Cogent Social Sciences, 3, 1297175-1297175. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2017.1297175
3. Alavi, S. (2023). *The Evolution of Cyber Conflicts and Its Impact on International Security: A Comprehensive Analysis*. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/596363383.pdf

4. Ashcraft, C. M., Brewer, J. F., Burakowski, E., Coffin, et al. (2018). *Preparing for a Northwest Passage: A Workshop on the Role of New England in Navigating the New Arctic*. University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/215537367.pdf
5. Bano, M., Chaudhri, Z., & Zowghi, D. (2023). *The Role of Generative AI in Global Diplomatic Practices: A Strategic Framework*. Retrieved from http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.05415
6. Brittain-Hale, A. (2023). *Public Diplomacy and Foreign Policy Analysis in the 21st Century: Navigating Uncertainty through Digital Power and Influence*. ODU Digital Commons. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/553612484.pdf
7. Burchell, K., Gillespie, M., Nieto McAvoy, E., & O'Loughlin, et al. (2015). *Soft Power and Its Audiences: Tweeting the Olympics from London 2012 to Sochi 2014*. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/146487392.pdf
8. Gorlin, L. R. (2023). *The Maritime Operational Threat Response Plan: A Model for Interagency Cooperation*. Monterey, CA: Naval Postgraduate School. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/565849558.pdf
9. Hofer, A. (2018). *The 'Curiouser and Curiouser' Legal Nature of Non-UN Sanctions: The Case of the US Sanctions Against Russia*. Oxford University Press (OUP). Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/154408273.pdf
10. Kos-Stanišić, L. & Car, V. (2021). The Use of Soft Power in Digital Public Diplomacy: the Cases of Brazil and India in the EU. *Politička misao, 58* (2), 113-140. https://doi.org/10.20901/pm.58.2.05
11. Levitt, J. (2001). *Conflict Prevention, Management, and Resolution: Africa — Regional Strategies for the Prevention of Displacement and Protection of Displaced Persons: The Cases of the OAU, ECOWAS, SADC, and IGAD*. Duke University School of Law. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/62566454.pdf
12. Martin, C., & Jagla, L. (2013). *Integrating Diplomacy and Social Media: A Report of the First Annual Aspen Institute Dialogue on Diplomacy and Technology*. Aspen Institute Communications and Society Program. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/71361701.pdf

13. Mooney, C. W., Jr. (2014). *The Cape Town Convention's Improbable-but-Possible Progeny Part One: An International Secured Transactions Registry of General Application*. Penn Carey Law: Legal Scholarship Repository. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/151694686.pdf
14. Park, S., Chung, D., & Park, H. W. (2019). Analytical framework for evaluating digital diplomacy using network analysis and topic modeling: Comparing South Korea and Japan. *Information Processing and Management*, *56*(4), 1468–1483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2018.10.021
15. Rapantová, N., Younis, J. H., & Yousuf, M. A. (2018). *Sustainable Water Management in Iraq (Kurdistan) as a Challenge for Governmental Responsibility*. MDPI AG. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/163084554.pdf
16. Raymond, M., & DeNardis, L. (2015). *Multistakeholderism: Anatomy of an Inchoate Global Institution*. International Theory, 7, 572-616. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1017/s1752971915000081
17. Tüner, T. (2023). *Evolution of ASEAN's Policy on Cyber Security*. Middle East Technical University, Faculty of Architecture. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/620614216.pdf
18. Webb, A. (2009). *Public Diplomacy: Meeting New Challenges. Report of Wilton Park Conference 902*. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/81971.pdf

Instructions for the authors

Papers should be written and prepared as follows:

1. Manuscript should be prepared with 1.5 spacing, before and after spacing 0, font Times Roman in Latin script. Text size should be 12, text size for Abstract and keywords should be 11 in italic.
2. Manuscript length should be up to 10.000 words, including Abstract, tables, notes, appendixes, and references.
3. The text should be prepared, proofread, and technically edited.
4. The citation should be according to APA style:
    a) In-text – (Marković, 2017. without stated page/s), or (Marković, 2017:53 with stated pages);
    b) Reference list – Marković, D. (2017). Etička dimenzija ekonomske krize u svetu 2008-2009. *Ekonomski anali*. Beograd,Vol. 65. No. 3 (Journal article). For electronic issues doi number should be provided.
    c) Marković, D. (2015). *Liberalni kapitalizam na izdisaju*. Novi Sad: Naučna knjiga. (monograph, book)
    d) Pejsnović, R., Vujić, V. (2020) *Metodologija ekonomskih istraživanja*. Novi Sad: Akademska knjiga. (monograph or book with two or more authors).
5. Manuscripts are submitted through the submission page.

Submitted manuscripts must be in English.

Main editorial policies

The journal ***Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR)*** publishes original papers that have not been published previously: **scientific articles, review papers, research notes.**

Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR) is an Open Access journal.

Contributions to the journal shall be submitted in English **language,** with summaries in English **and Serbian language**.

The Journal is issued **2** times a year.

Editorial Responsibilities

The Editor-in-Chief is responsible for deciding which articles submitted to ***Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR)*** will be published. The Editor-in-Chief is guided by the Editorial Policy and constrained by legal requirements in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism.

The Editorial Board reserves the right to decide not to publish submitted manuscripts in case it is found that they do not meet relevant standards concerning the content and formal aspects. The Editorial Staff will inform the authors whether the manuscript is accepted for publication within at most six months from the date of the manuscript submission.

Editor-in-Chief must hold no conflict of interest with regard to the articles they consider for publication. If an Editor feels that there is likely to be a perception of a conflict of interest in relation to their handling of a submission, the selection of reviewers and all decisions on the manuscript shall be made by the Editorial Board.

Editor-in-Chief shall evaluate manuscripts for their scientific content free from any racial, gender, sexual, religious, ethnic, or political bias.

The Editor and the Editorial Staff must not use unpublished materials disclosed in submitted manuscripts without the express written consent of the authors. The information and ideas presented in submitted manuscripts shall be kept confidential and must not be used for personal gain.

Double-blind peer review process is implemented. Editors and the Editorial Staff shall take all reasonable measures to ensure that the reviewers remain anonymous to the authors before, during and after the evaluation process and the authors remain anonymous to reviewers until the end of the review procedure.

Authors' Responsibilities

Authors warrant that their manuscript is their original work, that it has not been published before and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. Parallel submission of the same manuscript to another journal constitutes misconduct and eliminates the manuscript from consideration by ***Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR).*** Please note that posting of preprints on preprint servers or repositories is not considered prior publication. Authors should disclose details of preprint posting upon submission of the manuscript. This must include a link to the location of the preprint. Should the submission be published, the authors are expected to update the information associated with the preprint version on the preprint server/repository to show that a final version has been published in the journal, including the DOI linking directly to the publication.

If a manuscript has previously been submitted elsewhere, authors should provide information about the previous reviewing process and its outcome. This provides an opportunity for authors to detail how subsequent revisions have taken into account previous reviews, and why certain reviewer comments were not taken into account. Information about the author's previous reviewing experience is to the author's advantage: it often helps the editors select more appropriate reviewers.

In case a submitted manuscript is a result of a research project, or its previous version has been presented at a conference in the form of an oral presentation (under the same or similar title), detailed information about the project, the conference, etc. shall be provided in the Acknowledgements.

It is the responsibility of each author to ensure that manuscripts submitted to ***Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR)*** are written with ethical standards in mind. Authors affirm that the manuscript contains no unfounded or unlawful statements and does not violate the rights of third parties. The Publisher will not be held legally responsible should there be any claims for compensation.

Reporting standards

Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR) is committed to serving the research community by ensuring that all articles include enough information to allow others to reproduce the work. A submitted manuscript should contain sufficient detail and references to permit reviewers and, subsequently, readers to verify the claims presented in it - e.g. provide complete details of the methods used, including time frames, etc. Authors are required to review the standards available for many research applications from Equator Network and use those that are relevant for the reported research applications. The deliberate presentation of false claims is a violation of ethical standards.

Authors are exclusively responsible for the contents of their submissions and must make sure that they have permission from all involved parties to make the content public. Authors are also exclusively responsible for the contents of their data/supplementary files. Authors affirm that data protection regulations, ethical standards, third party copyright and other rights have been respected in the process of collecting, processing and sharing data.

Authors wishing to include figures, tables or other materials that have already been published elsewhere are required to obtain permission from the copyright holder(s). Any material received without such evidence will be assumed to originate from the authors.

Authorship

Authors must make sure that only contributors who have significantly contributed to the submission are listed as authors and, conversely, that all contributors who have significantly contributed to the submission are listed as authors. If persons other than authors were involved in important aspects of the research project and the preparation of the manuscript, their contribution should be acknowledged in a footnote or the Acknowledgements section.

As a guide, authors should refer to the criteria for authorship that have been developed by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). In order to be named on the author list one must have:

- made substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND
- contributed to the drafting the work, or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND
- provided final approval of the version to be published; AND
- agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved; AND
- agreed to be named on the author list, and approved of the full author list.

Acknowledgment of sources

Authors are required to properly cite sources that have significantly influenced their research and their manuscript. Information received in a private conversation or correspondence with third parties, in reviewing project applications, manuscripts and similar materials, must not be used without the express written consent of the information source.

When citing or making claims based on data, authors should provide the reference to data in the same way as they cite publications.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism, where someone assumes another's ideas, words, or other creative expression as one's own, is a clear violation of scientific ethics. Plagiarism may also involve a violation of copyright law, punishable by legal action.

Plagiarism includes the following:

- Word for word, or almost word for word copying, or purposely paraphrasing portions of another author's work without clearly indicating the source or marking the copied fragment (for example, using quotation marks);

- Copying equations, figures or tables from someone else's paper without properly citing the source and/or without permission from the original author or the copyright holder.

Please note that all submissions are thoroughly checked for plagiarism.

Any manuscript that shows obvious signs of plagiarism will be automatically rejected and **no other submissions from the same author/s will be considered in the future.**

In case plagiarism is discovered in a paper that has already been published by the journal, it will be retracted in accordance with the procedure described below under Retraction policy, and authors will **not be able to submit other papers in the future.**

Conflict of interest

Authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might have influenced the presented results or their interpretation. If there is no conflict of interest to declare, the following standard statement should be added: ‘No competing interests were disclosed’.

A competing interest may be of non-financial or financial nature. Examples of competing interests include (but are not limited to):

- individuals receiving funding, salary or other forms of payment from an organization, or holding stocks or shares from a company, that might benefit (or lose) financially from the publication of the findings;
- individuals or their funding organization or employer holding (or applying for) related patents;
- official affiliations and memberships with interest groups relating to the content of the publication;
- political, religious, or ideological competing interests.

Authors from pharmaceutical companies, or other commercial organizations that sponsor clinical or field trials or other research studies, should declare these as competing interests on submission. The relationship of each author to such an organization should be explained in the ‘Competing interests’ section. Publications in the journal must not contain content advertising any commercial products.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is the author’s obligation to promptly notify the journal Editor or publisher and cooperate with the Editor to retract or correct the paper.

By submitting a manuscript the authors agree to abide by the ***Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR)’***s Editorial Policies.

Reviewers’ Responsibilities

Reviewers are required to provide written, competent and unbiased feedback in a timely manner on the scholarly merits and the scientific value of the manuscript.

The reviewers assess manuscript for the compliance with the profile of the journal, the relevance of the investigated topic and applied methods, the originality and scientific relevance of information presented in the manuscript, the presentation style and scholarly apparatus.

Reviewers should alert the Editor to any well-founded suspicions or the knowledge of possible violations of ethical standards by the authors. Reviewers should recognize relevant published works that have not been cited by the authors and alert the Editor to substantial similarities between a reviewed manuscript and any manuscript published or under consideration for publication elsewhere, in the event they are aware of such. Reviewers should also alert the Editor to a parallel submission of the same manuscript to another journal, in the event they are aware of such.

Reviewers must not have conflict of interest with respect to the research, the authors and/or the funding sources for the research. If such conflicts exist, the reviewers must report them to the Editor without delay.

Any selected reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the Editor without delay.

Reviews must be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Reviewers must not use unpublished materials disclosed in submitted manuscripts without the express written consent of the authors. The information and ideas presented in submitted manuscripts shall be kept confidential and must not be used for personal gain.

Peer Review

The submitted manuscripts are subject to a peer review process. The purpose of peer review is to assist the Editor-in-Chief in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communication with the author it may also assist the author in improving the manuscript.

Double blind peer review will be implemented. The number of peer reviewers is two. The Journal is going to implement procedures to try to provide that the peer review procedure is completed in at most three months!

The choice of reviewers is at the discretion of the Editor-in-Chief or Section Editor. The reviewers must be knowledgeable about the subject area of the manuscript; they must not be from the authors' own institution and they should not have recent joint publications with any of the authors.

Describing the peer review process in greater detail:[2]

All of the reviewers of a manuscript act independently and they are not aware of each other's identities. If the decisions of the two reviewers are not the same (accept/reject), the Editor-in-Chief or Section Editor may assign additional reviewers.

During the review process, the Editor-in-Chief or Section Editor may require authors to provide additional information (including raw data) if they are necessary for the evaluation of the

[2] In the main review phase, the Editor-in-Chief or Section Editor sends submitted manuscripts to the of reviewers - experts in the field. The reviewers' evaluation form contains a checklist in order to help reviewers cover all aspects that can decide the fate of a submission. In the final section of the evaluation form, the reviewers must include observations and suggestions aimed at improving the submitted manuscript; these are sent to authors, without the names of the reviewers. **Double-blind peer review:** All of the reviewers of a manuscript remain anonymous to the authors before, during and after the evaluation process and the authors remain anonymous to reviewers until the end of the review procedure.

scholarly merit of the manuscript. These materials shall be kept confidential and must not be used for personal gain.

The editorial team shall ensure reasonable quality control for the reviews. With respect to reviewers whose reviews are convincingly questioned by authors, special attention will be paid to ensure that the reviews are objective and high in academic standard. When there is any doubt with regard to the objectivity of the reviews or quality of the review, additional reviewers will be assigned.

Members of the editorial team/board/guest editors are permitted to submit their own papers to the Journal. In cases where an author is associated with the Journal, they will be removed from all editorial tasks for that paper and another member of the team will be assigned responsibility for overseeing peer review.

Post-Publication Discussions

Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR) encourages post-publication debate either through letters to the editor, or on an external moderated site, such as PubPeer.

Use of Large Language Models and generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools

Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR) conforms to the World Association of Medical Editors (WAME) recommendations on chat bots, ChatGPT and scholarly manuscripts and the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)'s position statement on Authorship and AI tools.

AI bots such as ChatGPT cannot be listed as authors on your submission.

Authors must clearly indicate the use of tools based on large language models and generative AI in the manuscript (which tool was used and for what purpose), preferably in the methods or acknowledgements sections.

Authors are responsible for the accuracy, validity, and appropriateness of any content generated by tools based on large language models and generative AI and they must ensure that the cited references are correct and that the submission is free from plagiarism.

Editors and Reviewers must ensure the confidentiality of the peer review process. Editors must not share information about submitted manuscripts or peer review reports with any tools based on large language models and generative AI. Reviewers must not use any tools based on large language models and generative AI to generate review reports.

Procedures for dealing with complaints and appeals

Anyone may inform the editors and/or Editorial Staff at any time of suspected unethical behavior or any type of misconduct by giving the necessary information/evidence to start an investigation.

Investigation

Editor-in-Chief will consult with the Section Editors or Editorial Board on decisions regarding the initiation of an investigation.

During an investigation, any evidence should be treated as strictly confidential and only made available to those strictly involved in investigating.

The respondent will always be given the chance to respond to any charges made against them.

If it is judged at the end of the investigation that misconduct has occurred, then it will be classified as either minor or serious.

Minor Misconduct

Minor misconduct will be dealt directly with those involved without involving any other parties, e.g.:

- Communicating to authors/reviewers whenever a minor issue involving misunderstanding or misapplication of academic standards has occurred.
- A warning letter to an author or reviewer regarding fairly minor misconduct.

Major Misconduct

The Editor-in-Chief, in consultation with the Section Editors or Editorial Board, and, when appropriate, further consultation with a small group of experts should make any decision regarding the course of action to be taken using the evidence available. The possible outcomes are as follows (these can be used separately or jointly):

- Publication of a formal announcement or editorial describing the misconduct.
- Informing the author's (or reviewer's) head of department or employer of any misconduct by means of a formal letter.
- The formal, announced retraction of publications from the journal in accordance with the Retraction Policy (see below).
- A ban on submissions from an individual for a defined period.
- Referring a case to a professional organization or legal authority for further investigation and action.

When dealing with complaints and appeals, the editorial team will rely on the guidelines and recommendations provided by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE): https://publicationethics.org/guidance/Flowcharts.

Retraction Policy

The infringement of the legal limitations of the publisher, copyright holder or author(s), the violation of of professional ethical codes and research misconduct, such as multiple submissions, duplicate or overlapping publication, bogus claims of authorship, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data and data fabrication, undisclosed use of tools based on large language models and generative AI, honest errors reported by the authors (for example, errors due to the mixing up of samples or use of a scientific tool or equipment that is found subsequently to be faulty), unethical research or any major misconduct require retraction of an article. Occasionally a retraction can be used to correct errors in submission or publication.

For any retracted article, the reason for retraction and who is instigating the retraction will be clearly stated in the Retraction notice. Standards for dealing with retractions have been developed by a number of library and scholarly bodies, and this practice has been adopted for article retraction by ***Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR)***: in the electronic version of the retraction note, a link is made to the original article. In the electronic version of the original article, a link is made to the retraction note where it is clearly stated that the article has been retracted. The original article is retained unchanged, save for a watermark on the PDF indicating on each page that it is “retracted.”

Research data policy

Journal encourages authors to share research data that are required for confirming the results published in the manuscript and/or enhance the published manuscript under the principle ‘as open as possible, as closed as necessary. We accept supporting software applications, high-resolution images, background datasets, sound or video clips, large appendices, data tables and other relevant items that cannot be included in the article.

Exceptions: We recognize that openly sharing data may not always be feasible. Exceptions to open access to research data underlying publications include the following: obligation to protect results, confidentiality obligations, security obligations, the obligation to protect personal data and other legitimate constraints. Where open access is not provided to the data needed to validate the conclusions of a publication that reports original results, authors should provide the relevant access needed to validate the conclusions to the extent their legitimate interests or constraints are safeguarded.

Ethical and security considerations

If data access is restricted for ethical or security reasons, the manuscript must include:

- a description of the restrictions on the data;
- what, if anything, the relevant Institutional Review Board (IRB) or equivalent said about the data sharing; and
- all necessary information required for a reader or reviewer to apply for access to the data and the conditions under which access will be granted.

Data protection issues

Where human data cannot be effectively de-identified, data must not be shared in order to protect participant privacy unless the individuals have given explicit written consent that their identifiable data can be made publicly available.

In instances where the data cannot be made available, the manuscript must include:

- an explanation of the data protection concern;
- any intermediary data that can be de-identified without compromising anonymity;
- what, if anything, the relevant Institutional Review Board (IRB) or equivalent said about data sharing; and
- where applicable, all necessary information required for a reader or peer reviewer to apply for access to the data and the conditions under which access will be granted.

In addition, data should be linked to from a Data Accessibility Statement within the submitted paper, which will be made public upon publication. If data is not being made available within the journal publication, a statement from the author should be provided to explain why. When depositing data for a submission, the below should be considered:

- The repository the data is deposited in must be suitable for this subject and have a sustainability model.
- The data must be deposited under an open license that permits unrestricted access (e.g., CC0, CC-BY). More restrictive licenses should only be used if a valid reason (e.g., legal) is present.
- The deposited data must include a version that is in an open, non-proprietary format.
- The deposited data must have been labeled in such a way that a 3rd party can make sense of it (e.g., sensible column headers, descriptions in a readme text file).

Research involving human subjects, human material, or human data, must have been performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Where applicable, the studies must have been approved by an appropriate Ethics Committee. The identity of the research subject should be anonymized whenever possible. For research involving human subjects, informed consent to participate in the study must be obtained from participants (or their legal guardian).

A 'Data Accessibility Statement' should be added to the submission, prior to the reference list, providing the details of the data accessibility, including the DOI linking to it. If the data is restricted in any way, the reasoning should be given.

Open Access policy

Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management Research (EEMR) is an Open Access journal. All its content is available free of charge. Users can read, download, copy, distribute, print, search the full text of articles, as well as to establish HTML links to them, without having to seek the consent of the author or publisher.

The journal does not charge any fees at submission, reviewing, and production stages.

Self-archiving policy

Authors can deposit author's preprint, author's postprint (accepted version) and publisher's version (PDF) of their work in an institutional repository, subject-based and general-purpose repository, author's personal website (including social networking sites, such as ResearchGate, Academia.edu, etc.), and/or departmental website at any time after the acceptance of the manuscript and at any time after publication.

Full bibliographic information (authors, article title, journal title, volume, issue, pages) about the original publication must be provided and links must be made to the article's DOI and the license.

Copyright and licensing

Authors retain copyright of the published papers and grant to the publisher the non-exclusive right to publish the article, to be cited as its original publisher in case of reuse, and to distribute it in all forms and media. Articles will be distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) licence.

Authors can enter the separate, additional contractual arrangements for non-exclusive distribution of the published paper (e.g., post it to an institutional repository or publish it in a book), with an acknowledgement of its initial publication in this journal.

Metadata policy

The journal metadata are freely accessible to all, and freely reusable by all, under the terms of the Creative Commons Universal (CC0 1.0) Public Domain Dedication license.

Disclaimer

The views expressed in the published works do not express the views of the Editors and Editorial Staff. The authors take legal and moral responsibility for the ideas expressed in the articles. The publisher shall have no liability in the event of issuance of any claims for damages. The Publisher will not be held legally responsible should there be any claims for compensation.